

Functional Trait Composition and Restoration Seed Mixes: Invasion Resistance in Prairie Plant Communities

Jacob Zeldin and Andrea Kramer Plant Biology and Conservation Chicago Botanic Garden and Northwestern University



Background

Invasive Plant Species are a major driver of global change and substantially affect recipient communities.

Resisting invasion is a key restoration goal.

Community Diversity is a characteristic thought to increase invasion resistance.

Maximizing Diversity in seed mixes could reduce invasion in resulting restorations.





Modified from Swenson, 2011

A Note on Functional Traits

Leaf Traits

Specific Leaf Area - growth rate, resource aquistion, competetive ability

Leaf Thickness - leaf longevity, stress tolerance

Leaf Dry Matter Content - leaf longevity, stress tolerance

Petiole Length- light interception

Circularity - light interception



Whole Plant Traits

Plant Height

- light interception, competetive ability

Stem Dry Matter Content

- structural support, stress tolerance

Root Traits! Certainly important but difficult to measure - water budget, resource aquistion, competetive ability, mycorrhizal associations

Functional diversity operates to resist invasion through Resource Pre-emption



Invasion resistance may decrease with increased availability of limiting nutrients



Application: Restoration Seed Mixes

When addressing invasion suppression as a restoration goal, should seed mixes:



Only maximize species richness and diversity?



Also consider functional diversity and composition?

Hypotheses

1) Biomass of invaders will be lower in communities with high functional diversity (Complementarity).

2) Biomass of invaders will be lower in communities with mean functional traits similar to those of invaders (Limiting Similarity).

3) Effects of complementarity and limiting similarity on resistance to invasion is less pronounced or absent in nitrogen addition treatments.



<u>Methods</u>

- 1) Stratification/Germination
- 2) Planting Communities
- 3) Invading with Bromus inermis
 & Melilotus officinalis
 A) Bi-weeklu NL additions
- 4) Bi-weekly N additions
- 5) 9 Weeks of Growth
- 6) Harvest Biomass (Dry and Weigh)

24 Communities
X
2 Invasion Treatments
X
2 Nitrogen Treatments
=
96 Replicates









Bromus – Complimentarity Model

Functional diversity, community biomass, and species richness significantly affect *Bromus* biomass (F = 9.91 on 4 and 33 d.f, p < 0.001)



Melilotus - Complimentarity Model

Only community biomass predicted *Melilotus* biomass



F = 12.6 on 1 and 34 d.f, p < 0.005

Bromus -Trait Models



F = 5.49 on 2 and 35 d.f, p < 0.05



Melilotus-Trait Models



Hypotheses

 Biomass of invaders will be lower in communities with high functional diversity (Complementarity).
 No, but evidence of an opposite trend in *Bromus* invaded treatments.

 Biomass of invaders will be lower in communities with mean functional traits similar to those of invaders (Limiting Similarity).
 Yes, there is evidence for limiting similarity.

3) Effects of complementarity and limiting similarity on resistance to invasion is less pronounced or absent in nitrogen addition treatments.

No. There was not enough evidence to suggest an effect of



Conclusions





- In this experiment, FD had a positive (*Bromus*) or no effect (*Melilotus*) on invader biomass. Complementarity may not be driving invasion resistance.
- This could be explained by low species richness.
- Relationships could change in later life stages and at higher plant densities
- Future studies should test similar hypotheses at larger scales in the field and should manipulate species richness and density.

Conclusions





- My results suggest that limiting similarity may be constraining invader success.
- Early restoration efforts may benefit from seed mixes containing species with traits similar to those of potential invaders.
- Future studies should investigate the tradeoffs of increased invasion resistance and the saturation of potentially dominant native species.
- Nitrogen addition may require longer time-spans or more intense resource competition to impact invasion.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank:









experimental design and implementation.
Alex Sealias, Olaa Kildish

 Alex Seglias, Olga Kildisheva, Abbey White, and others for their assistance with biomass harvest and data collection.

Jeremie Fant for advising in

The Kramer-Havens lab group for feedback and insight.

Andrea Kramer, Evelyn Williams, and

Don Waller and the Plant Ecology Laboratory of the University of Wisconsin – Madison for allowing the use of their plant functional trait database *NSF Dimensions of Biodiversity program supported collection of these trait data (DEB-1046355)

The NSF Research Experience for Undergraduates program and our intern Wendy Semski for help over the growing season.



Questions?



References and Attributions

- Funk, J. L et. al. 2008. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23:695–703.
- Swenson, N. G. 2011. American Journal of Botany 98:472–480.
- Tilman, David. 2001. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Academic Press, San Diego, CA



The preceding presentation was delivered at the

2017 National Native Seed Conference Washington, D.C. February 13-16, 2017

This and additional presentations available at http://nativeseed.info





